

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE ENVISION HEALTHCARE CORP.

This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 1:18-cv-01068-RGA-SRF

CLASS ACTION

CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDER
LITIGATION

**NOTICE OF AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF “RELEASED CLAIMS”
IN STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT**

MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC

Juan E. Monteverde
Miles D. Schreiner
The Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4405
New York, NY 10118
Tel.: (212) 971-1341

*Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and
Lead Counsel for the Class*

COOCH AND TAYLOR, P.A.

Blake A. Bennett (#5133)
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange St., Suite 1120
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel.: (302) 984-3800

*Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and the
Class*

Dated: January 13, 2021

Lead Plaintiff Jon Barrett (“Lead Plaintiff”) and Lead Counsel Monteverde & Associates PC (“Lead Counsel”) hereby provide notice that, pursuant to paragraph 10.9 of the Stipulation of Settlement dated October 15, 2020 (“Stipulation”) (D.I. 85), the parties to this action (“Action”) have agreed to modify the definition of “Released Claims” set forth in paragraph 1.27 of the Stipulation and the corresponding language in the Order and Final Judgment, as reflected in the Amendment to Stipulation of Settlement (attached as Exhibit 1 hereto).

The parties to this Action explicitly excluded all claims currently asserted in the unrelated action captioned *In re Envision Healthcare Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Consolidated Case No. 3:17-cv-01112 (M.D. Tenn.) (the “Tennessee Action”) from the original definition of “Released Claims” in the Stipulation. Nevertheless, in a letter dated December 11, 2020, lead counsel for the putative class in the Tennessee Action (“Tennessee Counsel”), asserted that the original definition of “Released Claims” insufficiently preserved the claims at issue in the Tennessee Action, and proposed revised language.

On December 15, 2020, Lead Counsel responded to Tennessee Counsel’s December 11th letter, stating that the definition of “Released Claims” in the Stipulation expressly excluded the claims asserted in the Tennessee Action, and was further limited to four enumerated categories of claims, none of which could be reasonably interpreted to implicate the unrelated claims asserted in the Tennessee Action. Nevertheless, to avoid burdening the Court with further motion practice, the parties have agreed to the modified language set forth in the Amendment to the Stipulation of Settlement.

Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel submit that notice is not needed to inform the Class of the revision to the definition of “Released Claims”, as the revised language does not “*adversely* affect class members.” *In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, 327 F.R.D. 299, 330 (N.D. Cal. 2018)

(collecting cases holding that new notice is not required unless the modification adversely affects class members); *Knuckles v. Mary Jane Elliott, PC*, No. 15-10175, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94858, at *14-15 (E.D. Mich. July 20, 2016)(same, including three cases from courts within Third Circuit); *Zamora v. Ryder Integrated Logistics, Inc.*, No. 13CV2679-CAB BGS, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 184096, at *3 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 23, 2014) (granting final approval to a settlement agreement that included modified release language without requiring additional notice as the added language did not “broaden the release being provide”). Nevertheless, the Amendment to the Stipulation of Settlement has been added to the Class Administrator’s website for the Settlement Class to be able to access it.

Dated: January 13, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC

COOCH AND TAYLOR, P.A.

Juan E. Monteverde
Miles D. Schreiner
The Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4405
New York, NY 10118
Tel.: (212) 971-1341
Email: jmonteverde@monteverdelaw.com
mschreiner@monteverdelaw.com

/s/ Blake A. Bennett
Blake A. Bennett (#5133)
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange St., Suite 1120
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel.: (302) 984-3800

Delaware Counsel for Lead Plaintiff

*Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel
for the Class*

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE ENVISION HEALTHCARE CORP.

This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 1:18-cv-01068-RGA-SRF

CLASS ACTION

CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDER
LITIGATION

AMENDMENT TO THE STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

Pursuant to paragraph 10.9 of the Stipulation of Settlement dated October 15, 2020 (“Stipulation”), the Settling Parties (as defined in the Stipulation) hereby amend the definition of “Released Claims” set forth in paragraph 1.27 of the Stipulation as follows:

“Released Claims” means any and all claims, rights and causes of action, duties, obligations, demands, actions, debts, sums of money, suits, contracts, agreements, promises, damages and liabilities, whether known or unknown, contingent or non-contingent, derivative or direct, or suspected or unsuspected, including any claims arising under federal or state statutory or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, whether foreign or domestic, that have been asserted, could have been asserted, or could be asserted in the future by a member of the Settlement Class in his, her or its capacity as a purchaser, seller or holder of Envision stock against defendant Envision, the Individual Defendants (consisting of William A. Sanger, Christopher A. Holden, James D. Shelton, Michael L. Smith, Leonard M. Riggs, Carol J. Burt, Cynthia S. Miller, Kevin P. Lavender, Joey A. Jacobs, Steven I. Geringer, John T. Gawaluck, and James A. Deal) (all defendants referenced above are collectively referred to herein as “Defendants”), Enterprise Parent Holdings Inc., Enterprise Merger Sub Inc., and Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., and any and all of their related parties, including, without limitation, any and all of their current or former parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, divisions, investment funds, joint ventures and general or limited partnerships, and each their respective current or former officers, directors, trustees, partners, members, contractors, auditors, principals, agents, managing agents, employees, attorneys, accountants, investment bankers, underwriters, insurers in their capacities as such, as well as each of the Individual Defendants’ immediate family members, heirs, executors, personal or legal representatives, estates, beneficiaries, predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively, “Defendants’ Released Persons”), that arise out of or relate in any way to: (i) the Action, including the claims in the Action and any acts, facts, events, disclosures, or omissions alleged or referenced therein; (ii)

any duties, fiduciary or otherwise, of Defendants' Released Persons arising from or related to the Acquisition; (iii) the common stock of Envision arising from or related to the Acquisition; or (iv) any other claims concerning the Acquisition. Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the following claims are explicitly excluded: any claims (1) arising out of any scheme to defraud or alleged misrepresentation or omission made in connection with the purchase, acquisition or issuance of Envision securities, or solicitation of proxies to vote securities of Envision or any predecessor, between February 3, 2014 and October 31, 2017, including *In re Envision Healthcare Corporation Securities Litigation*, No. 3:17-cv-01112 (M.D. Tenn.), to the extent such claims do not arise out of the Acquisition, including, without limitation, all claims related in any way to: (a) the disclosures made in connection with the Acquisition, or (b) the consideration received by Settlement Class Members in connection with the Acquisition; (2) related to the enforcement of this Settlement; and (3) between Defendants' Released Persons and their respective insurers.

Furthermore, the definition of "Order and Final Judgment" set forth in paragraph 1.21 of the Stipulation is hereby modified to mean the judgment to be rendered by the Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

All other provisions of the Stipulation shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Amendment to the Stipulation of Settlement to be executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, dated January 13, 2021.

	<p>COOCH AND TAYLOR, P.A.</p> <p><i>/s/ Blake Bennett</i> Blake A. Bennett (#5133) The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange St., Suite 1120 Wilmington, DE 19801 Tel.: (302) 984-3800</p> <p><i>Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and the Class</i></p> <p>MONTEVERDE & ASSOCIATES PC Juan E. Monteverde (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Miles D. Schreiner The Empire State Building</p>
--	---

350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4405
New York, NY 10118
Tel.: (212) 971-1341
Fax: (212) 202-7880
Email: jmonteverde@monteverdelaw.com
mschreiner@monteverdelaw.com

*Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel
for the Class*

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

/s/ Raymond J. DiCamillo

Raymond J. DiCamillo (#3188)

Daniel E. Kaprow (#6295)

920 North King Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Telephone: (302) 651-7700

Attorneys for Envision Healthcare

Corporation, William A. Sanger, Christopher

A. Holden, James D. Shelton, Michael L.

Smith, Leonard M. Riggs, Carol J. Burt,

Cynthia S. Miller, Kevin P. Lavender, Joey A.

Jacobs, Steven I. Geringer, John T.

Gawaluck, James A. Deal

OF COUNSEL

**SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT
LLP**

Peter E. Kazanoff (*pro hac vice*)

Craig S. Waldman (*pro hac vice*)

425 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

Telephone: (212) 455-2000

Email: pkazanoff@stblaw.com

Email: cwaldman@stblaw.com

Counsel for Envision Healthcare Corporation

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

Rachelle Silverberg (*pro hac vice*)

Corey J. Banks (*pro hac vice*)

51 W. 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 403-1000

Email: RSilverberg@wlrk.com

Email: CJBanks@wlrk.com

	<p><i>Counsel for William A. Sanger, Christopher A. Holden, James D. Shelton, Michael L. Smith, Leonard M. Riggs, Carol J. Burt, Cynthia S. Miller, Kevin P. Lavender, Joey A. Jacobs, Steven I. Geringer, John T. Gawaluck, James A. Deal</i></p>
--	--

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IN RE ENVISION HEALTHCARE CORP.

This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS

Case No. 1:18-cv-01068-RGA-SRF

CLASS ACTION

CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDER
LITIGATION

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice (“Preliminary Approval Order”) dated October 16, 2020, on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated October 15, 2020, as amended on January 13, 2021 (the “Stipulation”).

WHEREAS, this Order of Dismissal is “with prejudice”;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class as required in the Preliminary Approval Order;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on _____, 2021, to consider, among other things, (i) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate and should therefore be approved; and (ii) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Litigation with prejudice as against the Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. This Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice (“Order and Final Judgment” or “Judgment”) incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all Settling Parties to the Litigation, including all Settlement Class Members.
3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finally certifies for purposes of

settlement only: (i) a Settlement Class defined as all record holders and all beneficial holders of Envision Healthcare Corporation (“Envision” or the “Company”) common stock who purchased, sold or held such stock during the period from and including August 10, 2018, the record date for voting on Enterprise Parent Holdings Inc.’s acquisition of Envision for \$46.00 per share in cash (the “Acquisition”), through and including October 11, 2018, the date the Acquisition closed, including any and all of their respective predecessors, successors, trustees, executors, administrators, estates, legal representatives, heirs, assigns and transferees; (ii) Monteverde & Associates PC is certified as Lead Counsel; and (iii) Lead Plaintiff is certified as the class representative. Excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) Defendants; (ii) members of the immediate families of each Defendant; (iii) Envision’s subsidiaries and affiliates; (iv) any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest; (v) the legal representatives, heirs, successors, administrators, executors, and assigns of each Defendant; and (vi) any Persons or entities who properly exclude themselves by filing a valid and timely request for exclusion.

4. For purposes of settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finds that the prerequisites for a class action under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied in that: (a) Settlement Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members in the class action is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual question; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Lead Plaintiff and his counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, considering: (i) the interests of the Settlement Class Members in individually controlling the

prosecution of the separate actions, (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by Settlement Class Members, (iii) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of these claims in this particular forum, and (iv) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the class action.

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

7. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. The Court hereby dismisses with prejudice and without costs, the Litigation and all claims contained therein and the Released Claims, defined as any and all claims, rights and causes of action, duties, obligations, demands, actions, debts, sums of money, suits, contracts, agreements, promises, damages and liabilities, whether known or unknown, contingent or non-contingent, derivative or direct, or suspected or unsuspected, including any claims arising under federal or state statutory or common law or any other law, rule or regulation, whether foreign or domestic, that have been asserted, could have been asserted, or could be asserted in the future by a Settlement Class Member in his, her or its capacity as a purchaser, seller or holder of Envision stock against defendant Envision, the Individual Defendants (consisting of William A. Sanger, Christopher A. Holden, James D. Shelton, Michael L. Smith, Leonard M. Riggs, Carol J. Burt, Cynthia S.

Miller, Kevin P. Lavender, Joey A. Jacobs, Steven I. Geringer, John T. Gawaluck, and James A. Deal) (all defendants referenced above are collectively referred to herein as “Defendants”), Enterprise Parent Holdings Inc., Enterprise Merger Sub Inc., and Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., and any and all of their related parties, including, without limitation, any and all of their current or former parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, divisions, investment funds, joint ventures and general or limited partnerships, and each their respective current or former officers, directors, trustees, partners, members, contractors, auditors, principals, agents, managing agents, employees, attorneys, accountants, investment bankers, underwriters, insurers in their capacities as such, as well as each of the Individual Defendants’ immediate family members, heirs, executors, personal or legal representatives, estates, beneficiaries, predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively, “Defendants’ Released Persons”), that arise out of or relate in any way to: (i) the Action, including the claims in the Action and any acts, facts, events, disclosures, or omissions alleged or referenced therein; (ii) any duties, fiduciary or otherwise, of Defendants’ Released Persons arising from or related to the Acquisition; (iii) the common stock of Envision arising from or related to the Acquisition; or (iv) any other claims concerning the Acquisition. Notwithstanding the aforementioned, the following claims are explicitly excluded: any claims (1) arising out of any scheme to defraud or alleged misrepresentation or omission made in connection with the purchase, acquisition or issuance of Envision securities, or solicitation of proxies to vote securities of Envision or any predecessor, between February 3, 2014 and October 31, 2017, including *In re Envision Healthcare Corporation Securities Litigation*, No. 3:17-cv-01112 (M.D. Tenn.), to the extent such claims do not arise out of the Acquisition, including, without limitation, all claims related in any way to: (a) the disclosures made in connection with the Acquisition, or (b) the consideration received by Settlement Class

Members in connection with the Acquisition; (2) related to the enforcement of this Settlement; and (3) between Defendants' Released Persons and their respective insurers.

8. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, without further action by anyone, Lead Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Settlement Class Members (other than those listed on Exhibit __ hereto), in his, her or its capacity as a purchaser, seller or holder of Envision stock, and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Order and Final Judgment, shall have, fully, finally, and forever resolved, discharged, relinquished, released, waived, settled, and dismissed with prejudice any and all of the Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims) against Defendants and each and all of Defendants' Released Persons, regardless of whether a Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release, except that claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement shall not be released.

9. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, without further action by anyone, Defendants shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Order and Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Lead Plaintiff, each and all of the Settlement Class Members, and Plaintiff's Counsel from all Plaintiff's Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), and shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting such claims.

10. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, without further action by anyone, Lead Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all Settlement Class Members (other than those listed on Exhibit __ hereto), in his, her or its capacity as a purchaser, seller or holder of Envision stock, and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, asserting, maintaining, enforcing, aiding, prosecuting,

or continuing to prosecute any action or proceeding in any forum (including, but not limited to, any state or federal court of law or equity, any arbitral forum, any tribunal, administrative forum, or the court of any foreign jurisdiction, or any other forum of any kind), any and all of the Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), against Defendants and each and all of Defendants' Released Persons, regardless of whether such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release, except that claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement shall not be released.

11. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, without further action by anyone, Lead Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and each and every Settlement Class Member, in his, her or its capacity as a purchaser, seller or holder of Envision stock, and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, shall covenant or be deemed to have covenanted not to sue any of Defendants and Defendants' Released Persons with respect to any and all Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims).

12. In accordance with the PSLRA as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(A), (a) all obligations to any Settlement Class Member of any Defendant or Defendants' Released Person arising out of the Litigation are discharged, and (b) any and all claims for contribution arising out of the Litigation or any of the Released Claims (i) by any person or entity against any of the Defendants' Released Persons, and (ii) by any of the Defendants' Released Persons against any person or entity, other than as set out in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(A)(ii), are hereby permanently barred, extinguished, discharged, satisfied and unenforceable.

13. The terms of the Stipulation and of this Order and Final Judgment shall be forever binding on Lead Plaintiff, all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Proof of Claim and Release or seeks or obtains a

distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), and Defendants, as well as their respective, heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

14. The Escrow Agent shall maintain the Settlement Fund in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Stipulation. Defendants and Defendants' Released Persons shall have no liability, obligation, or responsibility whatsoever for the administration of the Settlement or disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund.

15. The Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action given to the Settlement Class (a) was implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order entered on October 16, 2020 (b) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, to all Persons entitled to such notice, of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, (c) was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Settlement Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Litigation; (ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the releases contained therein); and (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement, exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, and/or appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (d) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, the requirements of the PSLRA, and all other applicable law and rules.

16. Separate orders shall be entered regarding the proposed Plan of Allocation and Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and expenses as allowed by the Court. Any plan of allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and

expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

17. Neither this Order and Final Judgment, the Stipulation, the Supplemental Agreement, nor any of their terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations, discussions, proceedings connected thereto, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any of the allegations in the Litigation or of the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of any Defendants or Defendants' Released Persons; or (b) is, or shall be deemed to be, or shall be used as an admission of any fault or omission of any Defendants or Defendants' Released Person in any statement, release, or written documents issued, filed, or made; or (c) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault, liability, wrongdoing, negligence, or omission of any Defendants or Defendants' Released Persons in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, arbitration proceeding, administrative agency, or forum or tribunal in which any Defendants or Defendants' Released Persons are or become parties; or (d) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission or evidence that any claims asserted by Lead Plaintiff were not valid or that the amount recoverable was not greater than the Settlement Amount, in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. Defendants, Defendants' Released Persons, Lead Plaintiff, Class Members, and their respective counsel may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion

or similar defense or counterclaim. The Settling Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any proceedings that may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Stipulation, the Settlement, or the Judgment.

18. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees and expenses and interest in the Litigation; and (d) all Settling Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation.

19. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

20. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to the Defendants as required under the terms of the Stipulation, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

21. Without further approval from the Court, the parties are hereby authorized to agree and to adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (i) are not materially inconsistent with this Order and Final Judgment; and (ii) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the

Settlement. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.

22. The Court directs immediate entry of this Judgment by the Clerk of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

THE HONORABLE RICHARD G. ANDREWS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE